

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

3:08-cv-0246-LDG  
(Kroshus I)

ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
THE INTERIOR, et al.,

Defendants.

3:08-cv-0285-LDG

BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

3:08-cv-0621-LDG  
(Adamson I)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Defendants.	3:09-cv-0167-LDG
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

JAMES ADGETT, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Defendant.	3:09-cv-0649-LDG
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,  Defendants.	3:09-cv-0713-LDG (Kroshus II)
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------

BILL ADAMSON, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Defendant.	3:09-cv-0715-LDG (Adamson II)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------

JASON AMES, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Defendant.	3:10-cv-0463-LDG
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

1 In conformity with the subdivision of pending motions for oral arguments and rulings  
2 announced by the court in its order of March 16, 2011, and in the further interests of case  
3 management of this litigation,

4 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following motions are DENIED without  
5 prejudice subject to their reinstatement by the court in the due course of the aforementioned  
6 proceedings:

7 Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG

8 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on the issue of the Bureau of  
9 Reclamation's level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#857)

10 Adamson v. United States, 3:08-cv-00621-LDG

11 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
12 immunity (#230)

13 Moore v. United States, 3:09-cv-0167-LDG

14 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
15 immunity (#219)

16 Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG

17 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
18 immunity (#161)

19 Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG

20 TRC Engineers, Inc.'s, defendants' motion for summary judgment against the 58  
21 individuals in Kroshus II who are not members of the Reimers class action (#368)

22 Adamson v. United States, 3:09-cv-0715-LDG

23 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
24 immunity (#170)


25 Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-0463-LDG

26 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
immunity (#112)

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, as approval of class settlement and distribution  
of settlement funds has been granted, the following related motions for approval of settlement of

1 class action pursuant to FRCP 23(e) and distribution of settlement funds are hereby DENIED in  
2 the following cases as moot: Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#848);  
3 Uhouse v. Bureau of Reclamation, 3:08-cv-0285-LDG (#458); and Kroshus v. United States  
4 (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#384).

5 DATED this 27 day of September, 2013.

6  
7  
8   
9 Lloyd D. George  
United States District Judge